For undermining the NPT; obstructing efforts to ban nuclear weapons; general duplicity and insincere conduct; sundry offences against reason and logic.

**Description:** Non-nuclear-weapon state party to the NPT. Likes to be seen as helpful, moderate and engaged. Fully supports nuclear disarmament, just as soon as it has happened. Publicly cites its reliance on US nuclear weapons for security, has no plans to change this. Says things like “the horrendous humanitarian consequences of nuclear weapons are precisely why deterrence has worked”.

Seems cheerfully unaware that it is acting in direct contradiction of Action 1 of the 2010 NPT Action Plan. Notionally supports the humanitarian consequences initiative, as long as it doesn’t lead to disarmament in the “near term”; in private describes it as something that “runs against our security interests”. Opposes a ban treaty on the disingenuous grounds that it would not “guarantee” elimination of nuclear weapons.

**Dangerous/violent:** Only really dangerous to self. Clumsy diplomatic manoeuvering may on occasion cause injury to those positioned nearby. Pious yet hypocritical and tone-deaf statements may induce moderate to severe cringing in allies.

**Contact:**

Wildfire>

[www.wildfire-v.org/npt2014](http://www.wildfire-v.org/npt2014)